UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/691,399 | 10/22/2003 | Daniel J. Cook | 14/1453US | 6819 | |
| 22822 LEWIS. RICE | 7590 01/04/2007 & FINGERSH, LC | | EXAMINER | | |
| ATTN: BOX II | ATTN: BOX IP DEPT. SELLS, | | AMES D | | |
| 500 NORTH B SUITE 2000 | ROADWAY | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ST LOUIS, MO | 0 63102 | | 1734 | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 01/04/2007 | PAF | ER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | | Ž |
|---|--|---|--------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Off: A 4' O | 10/691,399 | COOK, DANIEL J. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | James Sells | 1734 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | •• |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory por Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solution. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> | 03 August 2006. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | owance except for formal matt | ers, prosecution as to the meri | ts is |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica | ition. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | nd/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exar | miner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is. | /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o | bjected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | rrection is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | 21(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-15 | 2. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formal a) All b) Some * c) None of: | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received in A | pplication No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | priority documents have been | received in this National Stage |) |
| application from the International Bu | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not | received. | |
| | | | • |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of I | nformal Patent Application | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-22-03</u> . | 6) 🔲 Other: | : | |

Application/Control Number: 10/691,399 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-36 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of copending Application No. 10/691,348. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of applicant's claims 1-36 are contained within or made obvious by claims 1-40 of copending Application No. 10/691,348.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,399 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

References

3. References A-C are cited as prior art of interest.

Telephone/Fax

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

James Sells Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700